## Safe Routes to School Federal Program - State of the States July 2009

This chart details each state's progress on implementing the federal Safe Routes to School program. All dollar figures cited are as of June 30, 2009.

- State SRTS Coordinators are required within each State DOT. State Coordinators administer the program and provide leadership to SRTS.
- State Advisory Committee, which are not required by law, often help craft the application process, promote the program to communities, and review grant applications to ensure a responsible and effective use of the federal funds.
- Awarded colums measure the amount of funding each state has announced for local grants and statewide spending—not including administrative expenses.
   These are the funds that will ultimately help local communities create safer routes to school.
- Obligated columns reflect the amount that the state has expended or contracted to expend on Safe Routes to School, including local grants, statewide spending, and administrative expenses. Obligation is important as it demonstrates what level of funding has been or will soon be spent to date to build infrastructure projects, support non-infrastructure activities, and implement the program.

State	SRTS State Coordinator in Place?	Advisory Committee	Funding Available (FY05-09) *	Total Awarded **	Percent Awarded	Change in amount awarded since prior quarter	Total Obligated *	Percent Obligated	Change in amount obligated since prior quarter
ALABAMA	Yes	Yes	\$9,019,567	\$5,302,771	59%	\$0	\$700,000	8%	\$100,000
ALASKA	Yes	No	\$4,990,000	\$715,851	14%	\$0	\$3,990,000	80%	\$0
ARIZONA	Yes	Yes	\$11,295,446	\$3,700,000	33%	\$2,200,000	\$2,660,503	24%	\$568,282
ARKANSAS	Interim	Yes	\$5,936,987	\$4,099,358	69%	\$18	\$2,489,436	42%	\$711,901
CALIFORNIA	Yes	Yes	\$67,518,011	\$90,921,826	135%	\$0	\$24,522,514	36%	\$9,434,764
COLORADO	Yes	Yes	\$8,713,500	\$7,831,424	90%	\$0	\$3,251,333	37%	\$725,006
CONNECTICUT	Yes	Yes	\$6,965,637	\$2,619,000	38%	\$0	\$2,002,277	29%	\$1,820
DELAWARE	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$1,116,409	22%	\$124,600	\$3,757,806	75%	\$0
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$2,814,745	56%	\$0	\$2,992,500	60%	\$231,000
FLORIDA	Yes	No	\$29,116,392	\$43,909,025	151%	\$119,573	\$18,043,328	62%	\$4,840,030
GEORGIA	Yes	Yes	\$17,196,167	\$4,982,979	29%	\$4,978,979	\$3,083,467	18%	\$1,260,000
HAWAII	Interim	No	\$4,990,000	\$549,133	11%	\$0	\$818,246	16%	\$0
IDAHO	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$4,532,834	91%	\$889,021	\$2,323,502	47%	\$505,689
ILLINOIS	Yes	Yes	\$23,267,399	\$8,337,721	36%	\$0	\$4,016,775	17%	\$1,358,780
INDIANA	Yes	Yes	\$12,007,810	\$6,930,143	58%	\$320,524	\$1,475,281	12%	\$58,519
IOWA	Yes	Yes	\$6,108,340	\$5,364,708	88%	\$0	\$3,138,342	51%	\$0
KANSAS	Yes	Yes	\$6,014,510	\$4,562,719	76%	\$0	\$2,440,766	41%	\$580,211
KENTUCKY	Yes	Yes	\$7,880,752	\$5,953,958	76%	\$0	\$4,346,101	55%	\$390,000
LOUISIANA	Yes	Yes	\$8,963,736	\$6,702,343	75%	\$2,863,310	\$4,848,215	54%	\$266,000
MAINE	Interim	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$4,260,000	85%	\$0	\$915,251	18%	\$14,000
MARYLAND	Yes	Yes	\$10,331,284	\$8,811,920	85%	\$0	\$8,887,100	86%	\$1,338,000
MASSACHUSETTS	Yes		\$11,273,641	\$1,747,620	16%	(\$527,380)	\$3,566,980		. ,
MICHIGAN	Yes	Yes	\$18,819,192	\$13,990,389	74%	\$0	\$8,035,753	43%	\$3,672,444

State	SRTS Coordinator	Advisory Committee	Funding Available (FY05-09) *	Total Awarded **	Percent Awarded	Change in amount awarded since prior quarter	Total Obligated *	Percent Obligated	Change in amount obligated since prior quarter
MINNESOTA	Yes	Yes	\$9,569,264	\$7,517,000	79%	\$0	\$3,960,039	41%	\$1,301,654
MISSISSIPPI	Yes	Yes	\$6,506,087	\$6,246,854	96%	\$55,270	\$1,115,197	17%	\$315,000
MISSOURI	Yes	Yes	\$10,731,677	\$8,994,221	84%	\$0	\$3,085,339	29%	\$553,311
MONTANA	Interim	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$1,270,090	25%	\$0	\$2,266,667	45%	\$284,127
NEBRASKA	Yes	Yes	\$5,013,931	\$4,186,603	83%	\$0	\$1,779,813	35%	\$101,561
NEVADA	Yes	Yes	\$5,583,903	\$1,594,971	29%	\$0	\$1,046,203	19%	\$682,379
NEW HAMPSHIRE	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$2,342,677	47%	\$818,971	\$581,086	12%	\$39,666
NEW JERSEY	Yes	Yes	\$15,883,526	\$14,345,900	90%	\$5,413,160	\$3,786,786	24%	\$696,478
NEW MEXICO	Yes	Yes	\$5,112,276	\$1,007,262	20%	\$0	\$922,415	18%	\$218,674
NEW YORK	Yes	No	\$31,611,547	\$27,499,133	87%	\$0	\$1,819,230	6%	\$180,790
NORTH CAROLINA	Interim	No	\$15,593,698	\$2,067,000	13%	\$0	\$1,816,860	12%	\$115,000
NORTH DAKOTA	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$3,218,492	64%	\$0	\$1,397,416	28%	\$66,815
ОНЮ	Yes	Yes	\$20,511,387	\$8,592,150	42%	\$0	\$2,417,345	12%	\$418,290
OKLAHOMA	Yes	Yes	\$7,104,216	\$3,349,658	47%	\$0	\$363,000	5%	\$0
OREGON	Yes	Yes	\$6,739,192	\$2,593,375	38%	\$0	\$763,760	11%	\$148,330
PENNSYLVANIA	Yes	Yes	\$20,932,175	\$18,818,368	90%	\$15,519,399	\$2,395,929	11%	\$137,500
RHODE ISLAND	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$1,868,789	37%	\$0	\$635,582	13%	\$50,000
SOUTH CAROLINA	Yes	Yes	\$8,152,897	\$5,152,000	63%	\$0	\$2,073,750	25%	\$0
SOUTH DAKOTA	Interim	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$702,258	14%	\$0	\$168,790	3%	\$60,975
TENNESSEE	Yes	Yes	\$10,859,965	\$6,185,850	57%	\$0	\$887,508	8%	\$61,471
TEXAS	Yes	Yes	\$44,751,640	\$25,618,783	57%	\$939,830	\$7,753,015	17%	\$1,981,394
UTAH	Yes	Yes	\$6,137,904	\$6,268,611	102%	\$2,919,306	\$3,710,329	60%	\$441,400
VERMONT	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$2,680,661	54%	\$193,661	\$2,371,032	48%	\$191,915
VIRGINIA	Yes	Yes	\$13,404,038	\$5,903,626	44%	\$2,308,194	\$13,109,376	98%	\$4,977,210
WASHINGTON	Yes	Yes	\$11,352,302	\$10,517,000	93%	\$0	\$5,007,595	44%	\$783,917
WEST VIRGINIA	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$2,879,170	58%	\$0	\$2,484,232	50%	\$205,880
WISCONSIN	Yes	Yes	\$10,175,004	\$6,930,779	68%	\$0	\$5,043,599		\$920,315
WYOMING	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$4,661,053	93%	\$53,500	\$3,336,000		\$63,000
TOTAL ***			\$596,015,000	\$394,442,055	66%	\$38,939,656	\$188,403,367	32%	\$41,070,496

<sup>\*</sup> Provided by the Federal Highway Administration.

<sup>\*\*</sup> From the National Center for Safe Routes to Schools quarterly Program Tracking Status reports. Available at http://www.saferoutesinfo.org/resources/tracking-reports.cfm

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Total awarded is the sum of each state's total awarded, except for those states that have awarded more than 100% of available funds. In these cases, the figure used is total funding available.